## Time series versus argument of latitude

GOCE Aerodynamic Torque Modeling T. Visser, E.N. Doornbos, C.C. de Visser, P.N.A.M. Visser Faculty of Aerospace Engineering, Delft University of Technology, Delft, The Netherlands B. Fritsche Hyperschall Technologie Göttingen GmbH, Katlenburg-Lindau, Germany



Figure 1: Total modeled torque  $\bar{T}$  as a function of time and argument of latitude in 2013.



Figure 2: Measured torque T as a function of time and argument of latitude in 2013.



Figure 3: Total modeled torque  $\bar{T}$ , and fitted payload dipole torque  $\hat{T}_{D,P}$  as a function of time and argument of latitude in 2013.



Figure 4: Magentic control torque  $\bar{T}_C$  as a function of time and argument of latitude in 2013.



Figure 5: Residual bus dipole torque  $\bar{T}_{D,B}$  as a function of time and argument of latitude in 2013.



Figure 6: Fitted payload dipole torque  $\hat{T}_{D,P}$  as a function of time and argument of latitude in 2013.



Figure 7: Thruster misalignment torque  $\bar{T}_T$  as a function of time and argument of latitude in 2013.



Figure 8: Solar radiation pressure torque  $\bar{T}_S$  as a function of time and argument of latitude in 2013.



Figure 9: Thruster dipole torque  $\bar{T}_{D,T}$  as a function of time and argument of latitude in 2013.



Figure 10: Gravity gradient torque  $\bar{T}_G$  as a function of time and argument of latitude in 2013.



Figure 11: Aerodynamic torque  $\bar{T}_A$  as a function of time and argument of latitude in 2013.



Figure 12: Measured torque T, total modeled torque  $\bar{T}$ , fitted payload dipole torque  $\hat{T}_{D,P}$ , and aerodynamic torque  $\bar{T}_A$  as a function of time and argument of latitude in 2013.